



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

CH

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/115,654 07/15/98 SHIBATA Y 98092

QM22/0815  
DENNISON MESEROLE POLLACK & SCHEINER  
1745 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY  
SUITE 612  
ARLINGTON VA 22202

EXAMINER

DEXTER, C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3724

12

DATE MAILED: 08/15/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
**09/115,654**

Applicant(s)  
**Shibata**

Examiner  
**Clark F. Dexter**

Group Art Unit  
**3724**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Scott Wakeman

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Clark Dexter

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Aug 11, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 13-17, 28-30, and 32

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Wakeman called to request clarification of the subject claims as to whether they are considered allowable since they are indicated as being objected to on the Office action cover page (paper #11), but no other indication is given as to their status with respect to the prior art. Mr. Dexter stated that it was intended that the Office action indicate that these claims are allowable over the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

**CLARK F. DEXTER**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**ART UNIT 3724**